

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,506		01/21/2004		Dudley Langston	LANG3006/JEK	1468
	23364	7590	09/27/2006		EXAMINER	
	BACON & THOMAS, PLLC				PASCUA, JES F	
	625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3727	 	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		VII			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/760,506	LANGSTON, DUDLEY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jes F. Pascua	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	January 2004.	·			
	his action is non-final.	·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes he drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/21/04</u> .	6) 🔲 Other: _	· · ·			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the flat sheeted bag with at least a central seam (claim 2), the circular woven tube without an identifiable seam (claim 2) and the interior surface or exterior surface being provided with a polymeric coating (claims 9 and 20) must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,506 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 6, 15, 16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,491,959 to Loefberg.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-7 and 15-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Great Britain Patent Specification No. 1,162,013 to the Union Carbide Corporation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loefberg or Union Carbide Corporation.

Loefberg and Union Carbide Corporation each disclose the claimed invention except for the pleated tube being a flat sheeted bag having at least a central seam. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to form the pleated tubes of Loefberg or Union Carbide Corporation from a flat sheeted bag having at least a central seam since it was known in the art that pleated tubes can be made from seamless tube of material or from a flat sheet that has been folded together with its edges forming at least a central seam.

7. Claims 3, 4, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loefberg and Union Carbide Corporation.

Loefberg discloses the claimed invention except that vent configurations are round instead of slits. Union Carbide Corporation shows that oval or elliptical vent configurations are equivalent structures known in the art. Therefore, because these two vent configurations were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the round vent configurations of Loefberg for the oval or elliptical vent configurations of Union Carbide Corporation.

8. Claims 8-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loefberg or Union Carbide Corporation.

Loefberg and Union Carbide Corporation each disclose the claimed invention except for the package being constructed of woven polyolefin fabric with a polymeric coating. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use woven polyolefin fabric with a polymeric coating for the packages of Loefberg or Union Carbide Corporation, since it has been held to be within

Art Unit: 3727

the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

JFP